

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,330	01/17/2002	Tilo Steinborn	103797-232-NP	7989
24964 7	590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
GOODWIN PROCTER L.L.P 103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY			NGUYEN, ANTHONY H	
ROSELAND,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,	,		2854	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
Advisory Action	10/051,330	STEINBORN, TILO	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony H Nguyen	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data to the data of	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 6-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10. Other:	( ), ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	<del></del> -	
	Quthe	ny Ng	ugen
		v U	•

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed claim which includes the step of "providing a supply roll with a blanket pre-treated with a solvent of high viscosity" raises new issue which would require further consideration and/or search.